

# ClotGuard FAQ

## 1. General Overview

- What problem are you solving?
  - We are mitigating preventable deaths globally through the use of nanotechnology, microneedles, and clotting factors.
- Why does this problem matter right now?
  - Response time is critical; even minutes of delay can determine survival.
  - Current solutions create dangerous gaps in care.
  - Bleeding remains one of the leading causes of preventable death, especially in trauma and emergencies.
- Who is this for?
  - Hospitals, emergency medical services (EMS), and the military, as well as first responders.
  - Market reach is huge; there are many different sectors to expand into.
- What is your vision for the company?
  - We want to redefine how bleeding is managed by shifting from reactive treatment to instant, autonomous treatment.
  - ClotGuard is the first step towards autonomous, responsive systems that can detect and treat critical conditions, saving lives.
- What does success look like in 5 years?
  - Success for us looks like having strong foundational research done and having developed strong, clear data showing that our device could genuinely help save millions of lives.
- Why are you and Isabella building this?
  - We want to solve real, high-impact problems in healthcare.
  - We felt that by combining technologies, there was a huge opportunity to rethink how this is handled.
  - There's a delay between injury and intervention; that gap costs millions of lives.

## 2. Problem & Market

- How big is the problem?

- Bleeding is the leading cause of preventable death worldwide, including trauma, surgery, and emergency care.
- In Canada alone, preventable bleeding contributes to thousands of avoidable deaths per year.
- Globally, millions of patients each year could benefit from faster intervention.
- Who is most affected by this issue?
  - Military personnel in combat or training scenarios (high-risk injuries)
  - Trauma patients in emergency or accident situations
  - Individuals with chronic bleeding disorders
- What is your target market (TAM, SAM, SOM)?
  - TAM: Global emergency and trauma care (~\$50B+)
  - SAM: Military medical systems + EMS systems in North America (~\$5-10B)
  - SOM: Initial military contacts in Canada and allied countries (~\$500M-1B)
- What trends make this problem more urgent now?
  - Rising focus on rapid-response healthcare (demand for real-time intervention)
  - Wearable and remote healthcare tech adoption is increasing
  - Overburdened emergency systems
  - Advances in biomaterials and nanotechnology make real-time bleeding control feasible.
- What happens if this problem is not solved?
  - Preventable deaths continue in trauma and emergencies
  - Hospital and EMS costs increase due to complications
  - Delays in intervention can result in permanent disability or higher mortality
  - Healthcare systems remain reactive instead of proactive
- Where will you enter the market first?
  - Military sector: high urgency, large funding, and strong need for rapid intervention
  - Validates technology in extreme conditions
  - Provides credibility for future expansion into EMS, hospitals, and civilian applications
  - <https://globalnews.ca/news/11670421/carney-defence-industrial-strategy/>
  - [Prime Minister Carney launches Canada's first Defence Industrial Strategy to strengthen security, create prosperity, and reinforce strategic autonomy.](#)

### 3. Solution & Technology

- What stage are you currently at? (idea, prototype, etc.)
  - Early prototype stage, with basic concept validated through external research and preliminary design
  - Working on a functional proof-of-concept for a nanobot platelet delivery system
  - Preparing for pre-clinical testing and safety studies

- What key components make up the system?
  - Wearable patch: continuous monitoring of bleeding biomarkers
  - Sensors: detect biomarkers such as thrombin, oxygen levels, and blood loss
  - Nanobots: carry platelets directly to the bleeding site
  - Delivery mechanisms: microneedles for fast, targeted intervention
  - Storage cartridge: holds lyophilized platelets safely until activation
  
- What are the biggest technical challenges?
  - Safe navigation of nanobots in the bloodstream without causing blockage
  - Real-time detection of bleeding with sensitivity and accuracy
  - Biocompatibility of all materials in contact with blood
  - Controlled release of platelets to avoid overdosing or clotting issues
  
- How do you ensure safety and reliability?
  - Using biocompatible, biodegradable materials like PLGA encapsulated platelets
  - Controlled, measured doses of platelets to prevent overcorrection
  - Pre-clinical testing in lab models to validate performance and safety
  
- How is this different from existing medical devices?
  - Unlike traditional treatments, ClotGuard acts immediately and autonomously
  - Provides targeted, on-site intervention rather than systemic or reactive treatment
  - Designed for both military and civilian applications, bridging a gap that current devices aren't even close to covering

## 4. Traction & Validation

- What progress have you made so far?
  - Developed a clear concept and system architecture for ClotGuard
  - Conducted extensive research on bleeding, platelet function, and targeted delivery systems
  - Begun early-stage prototyping of the external patch system
  - Initiated collaboration support with Microsoft in Vancouver
  
- Have you built or tested a prototype?
  - Currently building an early external prototype of the wearable patch
  - In the process of sourcing and integrating key components
  - Functional biological testing has not begun yet (finding a research team, lab, etc.)
  
- What research supports your approach?
  - Established research on lyophilized platelet function in clotting and trauma care
  - Studies show early intervention significantly reduces mortality in bleeding cases.
  - Existing work in nanomedicine and targeted drug delivery systems

- Research into biomarker-based detection
- Have you spoken to experts or potential users?
  - Yes, engaged with advisors and professionals in biomaterials and medical technology.
  - We have also discussed ClotGuard with end-users
  - Discussed material selection, feasibility, and design considerations
  - Beginning to explore insight from clinical and emergency care perspectives
- What feedback have you received?
  - String validation that the problem is real and high-impact
  - Emphasis on the importance of biocompatibility and safety in design
  - Advice to prioritize material selection and realistic prototyping pathways
  - Reinforcement that this requires a structured research and testing approach
- What are your next key milestones?

## 5. Business Model & Use of Funds

- How will ClotGuard make money?
  - Selling ClotGuard as a medical device system
  - Recurring revenue through different single-use components
  - Potential long-term contracts with military and healthcare systems
- Who are your paying customers?
  - Military organizations (initial market)
  - Hospitals and healthcare systems
  - Emergency Medical Services (EMS)
  - Potentially, government health agencies
- What is your go-to-market strategy?
  - Start with military partnerships and pilot programs
  - Validate technology in high-risk, high-urgency environments
  - Expand into EMS and hospital systems after initial validation
  - Build credibility through clinical data and strategic partnerships
- How much funding are you seeking?
  - We are seeking early-stage funding to support initial research and prototype development
  - This phase requires a dedicated research budget due to the complexity of the system.
- How will you use the funding?

- Access to laboratory facilities and specialized equipment
- Procurement of biomaterials, sensors, and prototype components
- Funding consumables for iterative testing and development
- Outsourcing specialized assays
- Supporting early-stage prototyping and validation efforts
  
- What milestones will this funding help you achieve?
  - Development of a functional prototype
  - Initial lab validation of sensing and delivery systems
  - Early biocompatibility and safety testing
  - POC demonstrating the feasibility of the system
  - Positioning for next-stage funding or partnerships
  
- What does your cost structure look like?
  - R&D costs
  - Testing and validation
  - Prototype development
  - Regulatory preparation
  - Minimal operational costs at this stage

## 6. Team

- Who are the founders?
  - Sophia Dhimi and Isavella Tsoulas, student founders who are passionate about building high-impact healthcare solutions
  - Background in research, innovation with emerging tech, and early-stage startup development
  - Focused on solving real-world problems at the intersection of healthcare and technology
  
- Why are you the right team to build this?
  - Proven ability to take ideas from concept to research to structured solutions
  - Deep interest in biomedical innovation and high-impact problem solving
  - Already actively engaging with researchers, mentors, and industry professionals
  - Strong willingness to learn fast and seek expert input

## 7. Risks & Challenges

- What are the biggest risks to your success?
  - Ensuring that nanobot delivery works safely in real biological systems
  - Medical devices can take years to reach the market, so regulatory approval timelines would definitely be one

- Integrating a novel system into conservative healthcare environments
- Funding and access to lab/testing environments at the early stage
- What technical challenges remain?
  - Achieving precise targeting and navigation of nanobots in the bloodstream
  - Ensuring accurate, real-time detection of bleeding without false positives
  - Developing safe and controlled platelet release mechanisms
  - Ensuring full biocompatibility and biodegradability of materials
  - Integrating all components into a wearable and reliable system
- What regulatory hurdles do you face?
  - Classification as a combination product (device + biologic), increasing complexity
  - Need for extensive preclinical and clinical trials to prove safety and efficacy.
  - Approval processes through regulatory bodies.
  - Strict requirements around biomaterials, nanotechnology, and blood interaction
- What are the biggest barriers to adoption?
  - Trust in new technology, especially involving nanotechnology in the body
  - Resistance from healthcare systems is slow to adopt novel devices
  - Need for integration into existing emergency workflows
  - Cost considerations for hospitals, the military, and healthcare providers
- How do you plan to mitigate these risks?
  - Start with military applications, where urgency and openness to innovation are much higher.
  - Conduct phased testing, beginning with lab validation before clinical trials.
  - Work with medical experts, researchers, and regulatory advisors early
  - Focus on biocompatible, well-studied materials to reduce any safety concerns
  - Secure funding to support R&D, testing, and regulatory navigation

## 8. Regulatory & Clinical Pathway

- What is your regulatory pathway?
  - ClotGuard will likely follow a medical device regulatory pathway, initially scoped as a Class II device, with potential classification as a combination product due to platelet delivery.
  - In Canada, our approval would go through Health Canada; for the U.S., it would be the FDA
  - We plan to engage with regulatory bodies early to clarify classification and requirements.
- What testing will be required before clinical use?
  - In vitro testing to validate sensor accuracy and platelet delivery mechanism
  - Preclinical (animal) studies to assess safety, targeting, and effectiveness

- Biocompatibility testing for all materials in contact with blood
- Clinical trials to evaluate safety and performance in humans
- Validation of device reliability and response time
  
- How will you ensure biocompatibility and safety?
  - Use of biocompatible and biodegradable materials
  - Designing nanobots to degrade safely after completing their function
  - Controlled and localized platelet delivery to avoid systemic clotting risks
  - Extensive lab and preclinical testing before human use
  - Incorporating fail-safes and controlled dosing mechanisms
  
- What is your timeline to approval?
  - Timeline may vary due to final classification and trial outcomes
  - Short term (2-3 years): prototype development + lab validation
  - Midterm (4-6 years): Preclinical testing and early clinical trials
  - Long term (7-8+ years): Regulatory approval and initial deployment